STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

School Food Authority Name: Westerly School District

Date o	f Administ	rative Review: April 24-April 26 and May 2, 2018				
Date r	eview resul	ts were provided to the School Food Authority: May 7, 2018				
Genera	al Program	Participation				
1.	. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)					
	X National X Fresh Fr □ Aftersche □ Special M	Breakfast Program School Lunch Program uit and Vegetable Program ool Snack Milk Program s Summer Option				
2.	Does the S that apply)	chool Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all				
	☐ Commur ☐ Special F ☐ Special F ☐ Special F	Provision 2				
Reviev	v Findings					
3.	Were any f X Yes	indings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?				
	If yes, plea	se indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.				
YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS				
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement				
		YES NO X Certification and Benefit Issuance				
		X				
		X				
		Finding(s) Details:				
	1	1) Approval/denial letter for reduced price meals was missing				

USDA is an Equal Opportunity Provider, Employer and Lender

information regarding being entitled to a hearing.

	2) Some of the verification letters were missing the correct non-discrimination statement.				
	3) Meal counts at the pre-school were not combined and recorded				
		correctly on the claim for reimbursement.			
	4)	, I			
	service.				
X	B. M	eal Pa	tterns and Nutritional Quality		
	YES	NO			
	X		Meal Components and Quantities		
			Offer versus Serve		
			Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis		
	Findin	ding(s) Details:			
	1)	1) Production records were not maintained as compreh			
		requi	red.		
	2)		radley school, meals offered did not contain sufficient tities.		
	3)	-	stable sub-group requirements were not met, primarily,		
		legur	• • •		
	4)	_	radley, the requirement to serve no more than half of the		
			in juice form at breakfast was not met.		
			3		
X	C. Sc	hool N	Nutrition Environment		
	X/TOO	NIO			
	YES	NO			
	YES		Food Safety		
			Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy		
			•		
	П Х		Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods		
	X		Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring		
	X		Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards		
	X X X Findir		Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards etails:		
	X X X Findir	ng(s) D	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards etails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding		
	X X X Findir	ng(s) D Loca	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards Petails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding eting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet		
	X X X Findir 1)	ng(s) D Loca mark Smar	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding eting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet at Snack standards.		
		ng(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding reting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet to Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall.		
	X X X Findin 1)	ng(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards etails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding eting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet et Snack standards. itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. ley School self-monitored.		
		ng(s) D Loca mark Smar Moni Brad Only	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding reting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet at Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Itely School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that		
	X	ng(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon Brad Only were	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding reting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet at Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Itely School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that required.		
	X	ng(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon Brad Only were	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding reting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet at Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Rey School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. The of the district staff who work within the school nutrition		
	X	ng(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon Brad Only were	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding reting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet at Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Itely School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that required.		
X	X	g(s) D Loca mark Smar Mont Brad Only were None progr	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding eting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet at Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Iley School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. It of the district staff who work within the school nutrition ram have met the annual training requirements.		
X	X X X Findin 1) 2) 3) 4)	ag(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon: Brad Only were None progr	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding reting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet rt Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Rey School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. The of the district staff who work within the school nutrition ram have met the annual training requirements.		
X	X	ng(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon: Brad Only were None progr	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards retails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding reting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet rt Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Rey School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. The of the district staff who work within the school nutrition ram have met the annual training requirements.		
X	X	g(s) D Loca mark Sman Mon Brad Only were None progr	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards Petails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding Peting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet Pet Snack standards. Petitoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Petitoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Petitoring visits were monitored. Petitoring visits were monitored visits were monitored. Petitoring visits were monitored visits were monitored visits were monitored. Petitoring visits were monitored visits were monitored visits were monitored. Petitoring visits were monitored visits were monito		
X		g(s) D Loca mark Smar Mon: Brad Only were None progr	Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other: Monitoring Other: Professional Standards Petails: I Wellness Policy requirements were not met regarding eting/advertising of food/beverages that do not meet at Snack standards. Itoring visits were not conducted at Babcock Hall. Itely School self-monitored. 2 breakfast services were monitored, instead of the 3 that required. Itely of the district staff who work within the school nutrition cam have met the annual training requirements. Septimentation to support annual civil rights training for all		